EXHIBIT A

Case 1:	21-cv-00838-JLH Document 124-1 Filed 01/16/25 Page 2 of 31 PageID #: 720
	·
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
3	TATHO DHADMACCHTICAL CO. LTD. o+ \
4	TAIHO PHARMACEUTICAL CO. LTD., et) al.,
5	Plaintiffs,) Case No.
6	vs.) 19-CV-2309-CFC
7	EUGIA PHARMA SPECIALTIES LTD., et) al.,
8) Defendant.)
9	TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION HEARING
10	
11	MOTION HEARING had before the Honorable Colm F.
12	Connolly, Chief Judge, in Courtroom 4B on the 8th of
13	November, 2023.
14	
15	APPEARANCES
16	DLA PIPER BY: ANGELA WHITESELL. ESQ.
17	BY: ANGELA WHITESELL, ESQ. MIKE SITZMAN, ESQ. RAY MILLER, ESQ.
18	ERIN LARSON, ESQ. MEGAN BOWERS, ESQ.
19	MARCELO BARROS, ESQ.
20	Counsel for Plaintiff
21	MORRIS JAMES LLP BY: CORTLAN HITCH, ESQ.
22	-and-
23	MCNEELY HARE & WAR LLP
24	BY: WILLIAM HARE, ESQ.
25	Counsel for Eugia

Case 1:	21-cv-00838-JLH	Document 124-1 721	Filed 01/16/25	Page 3 of 31 PageID #: 2
				2
1	RICHA	ARDS, LAYTON & F	TNGER PΔ	
2	KIOII/	BY: KELLY FARM	NAN, ESQ.	
3			-and-	
4	MERCI	HANT & GOULD P.O BY: CHRIS SORE		
5		JASON WIE		
6			Counsel for	Natco
7	SMITI	H KATZENSTEIN &	IENKINS IID	
8	31111	BY: DANIEL TAY		
9			-and-	
10	WIND	ELLS MARX LANE & BY: JOSH MILLE		LLP
11			TTNER, ESQ.	
12			Counsel for	MSN/Accord
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

MS. WHITESELL: Good morning, Your Honor. 1 Angela Whitesell on behalf of DLA Piper introducing for you 2 3 Mike Sitzman from DLA Piper, Megan Bowers, Ray Miller, Erin Larson, and Marcela Barros on behalf of the plaintiff, 4 Taiho. 5 THE COURT: Good morning. 6 7 I guess Mr. Hitch is first. 8 MR. HITCH: Good morning, Your Honor. On behalf of Eugia, it's Cortlan Hitch from Morris James, and joining 9 me today is Bill Hare from McNeely, Hare, and War. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. 11 Ms. Farnan. 12 13 MS. FARNAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Kelly Farnan from Richards, Layton, and Finger on behalf of Natco. 14 I'm joined by Chris Sorenson from Merchant & Gould and Jason 15 Wiener from Merchant & Gould. 16 17 THE COURT: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: Good morning, Your Honor. Daniel 18 Taylor from Smith Katzenstein on behalf of MSN and Accord. 19 With me from Windels Marx are Josh Miller and Connie 20 Huttner. 21 THE COURT: Thank you. Nice to see you, 22 23 Mr. Taylor. All right. Well, I guess without thinking about 24 it too deeply, when I finished the opinion, I thought this 25

was going to be appealed because it just -- I thought, why 1 else was I trying the first case? So we need to kind of 2 3 figure out what the right thing to do is here. MR. SITZMAN: Your Honor, if that's what the 4 Court is thinking, may I address the Court first? 5 THE COURT: Yeah, and just help me out. 6 What --7 first of all, have you appeared before me before? 8 MR. SITZMAN: It's been a long time, Your Honor. THE COURT: I meant in this case. 9 10 MR. SITZMAN: Not in this case. We substituted in after the end of the '284 trial, Your Honor. This is our 11 first appearance. Thank you. 12 13 THE COURT: Well, okay. So why did we try the '284 first if we're now going to sit here and wait and wait 14 and wait to try these other cases? What was the whole point 15 of it? 16 17 MR. SITZMAN: Your Honor, I don't think we're waiting, waiting. If you want to go through the 18 19 patents, I'm happy to. Remember, the polymorph case is 20 ready to go. It was ready to go last year. It was going to go to trial the week after. 21 THE COURT: Are you going to agree then, if we 22 23 try the polymorph case, are you going to agree at that point that it's a final judgment and all these cases are going to 24

25

go up on appeal?

MR. SITZMAN: After the polymorph case is over, there will be final judgments in several cases that can be appealed.

THE COURT: Will there be a final judgment on the '284 patent?

MR. SITZMAN: There would be a final judgment on the '284 at that point as well. Exactly, Your Honor.

If I may, the Rule 54(b) -- I understand what the Court is thinking. And trust me, if I was in your shoes, I'd be thinking the exact same thing. But Rule 54(b) does not just allow a case to go up on trial. We've got lots of cases on appeal. You've got lots of cases with multiple patents. Once one patent is decided, Rule 54(b) doesn't kick in as an automatic appeal.

THE COURT: I get you're going to be able to make argument. My hesitancy to even grant the Rule 54(b) is it's then subject to the discretionary view by the Federal Circuit. So the last thing I want to do is spend more time writing that opinion when it's a 50/50 shot. It's a discretionary call.

What I'm trying to figure out is, look how many lawyers are in the room. Look how many patents and claims you're asserting. You're going to cripple the courts. We try to do these things efficiently. We try to conserve very precious, scarce judicial resources. What I'm trying to

figure out is why I tried that '284 case. I spent a lot of time writing that opinion, and in a way it's for sport. So what I should have done, maybe in retrospect, is not done the '284 and waited until I had all the cases together that I would try and then it would go up to the Federal Circuit. We're looking for efficiency.

You weren't here, but we spent a lot of time, hours, with all these lawyers trying to figure out the most efficient way to hear these cases. I don't need to hear anything about the standards for Rule 54 because I would be very reluctant to risk certifying something on Rule 54 and then you go up and you raise legal arguments to the Federal Circuit and who knows what they're going to decide. What I'm trying to get to the bottom of is, what's the most efficient way to go forward?

MR. SITZMAN: Here's what I would suggest, if I may. I think we should move forward on the polymorph case. It's all ready. It was ready to be tried. Many defense counsel at that hearing, which I've read that transcript several times, and I saw all the time the Court put in trying to figure this out. The defendants wanted to proceed forward quickly on that polymorph case. It's all done. There's no new discovery. There's no new anything. It just needs a trial date and it's ready to go.

At that time, there will be final judgments in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

two of the four cases. The other two should go with it, and those two cases can go up on appeal. The remaining case -- and I'll go back over this --

THE COURT: These are patents that don't expire until 2037, I think it is; right?

MR. SITZMAN: The remaining one is 2037. The polymorph is 2034. So the 2037 cases are not done with discovery. We're at the end of fact discovery. We've got expert discovery, et cetera. Those likely will be ready for trial at the latter part of 2024, but we don't have to worry about them if we move forward and get the polymorph trial Then what we've got is we've got final judgments that done. can go up on appeal without any reason to attack them either from Federal Circuit or from counsel, and we can move But as this Court pointed out at the hearing last forward. year, when you were trying to go through all of this, the problem with trying the '284 case first was it was the first one to expire in 2029 and they would not be able to launch until they got through those other two patent trials. knew it.

THE COURT: They wouldn't be able to launch.

Right. Because you're saying as long as even one of the patents is valid out there, they can't launch until 2037, 2030-whatever.

MR. SITZMAN: Exactly, Your Honor. That was --

1	Your Honor is absolutely right. That was the conundrum that
2	everybody was facing last year to try to figure this out.
3	And we were going to go forward with the '284 and the
4	polymorph case back to back. Unfortunately, we couldn't do
5	them back to back. There was, I believe, a sickness issue
6	related to the pandemic, and then there was a scheduling
7	issue.
8	The polymorph case, though, is ready for trial.
9	It should be scheduled at the Court's convenience. The
10	parties are should be ready to go.
11	THE COURT: When will you be ready to go on the
12	third tranche?
13	MR. SITZMAN: The SRI case? I think August. It
14	will be done before that, but ready for trial in August.
15	THE COURT: Is there a trial date on it?
16	MR. SITZMAN: No, you haven't set one yet.
17	THE COURT: Did I construe any claims?
18	MR. SITZMAN: You didn't, but you decided that
19	the one issue need not be decided before trial, so that's
20	where we sit today.
21	THE COURT: On the '284, did I do any claim
22	construction?
23	MR. SITZMAN: Not needed.
24	THE COURT: I didn't?
25	MR. SITZMAN: No, there was no construction

needed.

THE COURT: Did I make any legal rulings other than my trial opinion on the '284 case?

MR. SITZMAN: I might have to defer to Mr. Sorenson on that.

MR. SORENSON: No, Your Honor, you did not.

There was a kerfuffle in the first case that related to polymorph patents (inaudible) and then there was a motion before Magistrate Judge Hall, I believe, but you've -- there was no Markman because we agreed there were no terms that required construction.

THE COURT: Which is very welcome. Thank you. Which is ironic. This is the case where you only agreed to six facts. Was this the one? I think so. You only agreed people were incorporated in the states they were incorporated in.

MR. SORENSON: If you remember the first pretrial hearing, you called us out on the carpet on that. We reached an amended pretrial order that had a lot more agreed facts than the first go-round. So you're thinking about the first time, and you appropriately asked us to redo that, and we did. There was more than six.

THE COURT: I think I had another one more recently where there were just six. People don't learn their lesson.

MR. SORENSON: Both sides think the facts are undisputed as long as you read them in the light of each side.

The only substantial decision on the '284 is the trial decision, and where we currently stand, there's no Markman determination to be made for the polymorph trial. Counsel is right. We're ready to roll. We had a fight over whether it would be more efficient on the polymorph trial to do a Markman on an issue that came up after the experts started doing reports. You already decided that a couple times and said you don't want Markman for the polymorph trial, and that's fine. We'll deal with that claim construction issue at that trial when you set that trial. Am I making sense?

THE COURT: No, it does. All right.

And you agree I didn't make any decisions in the '284?

MR. SITZMAN: I agree. I appreciate counsel's supplementation because I wasn't here. As far as I can remember from the record, there's nothing else on the '284 other than the trial decision.

THE COURT: We don't have a trial date yet for the second or third tranche?

MR. SITZMAN: Correct.

Your Honor, let me offer one more piece of

perspective to help maybe. On the third, the SRI patent, the way things currently sit -- and we're finishing discovery and experts -- our view will be that that will be likely a validity-only trial. The infringement issues have either fallen away quickly or will be stipulated to, at least in our view. If that's the case, we're looking at a fairly quick trial for that third case, something on the order of maybe two and a half or three days at max. But I wanted you to have that in your head while you're trying to think through what's available.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. So here's what I'm going to do: I'm going to reassign the case.

Thank you. You can have a seat, and I'll explain my thinking.

So we have a new judge coming on the court, and each of the current judges have to transfer a certain number of cases to that docket, and when we do that, we try to be equitable, but I've had hard time identifying cases that I can transfer that are midstream. What do I mean by that? We think it's fair to the new docket to not, for instance, transfer all new cases because then that poor judge, Judge Hall, would then have all the cases hit two years from now. So what we try to do is come up with cases that are -- some that are old, some that are midstream, and some that are brand new, and we go also by subject matter.

I'm down to 58 ANDA cases, but it's very difficult for me to identify an ANDA case that I can transfer to Judge Hall because I think it would be especially cumbersome and unfair to Judge Hall to transfer a case that I've made a lot of decisions on that she would inherit because I did that as a judge, and it's very, very frustrating, especially with regard to claim construction. And I've so far only identified one ANDA that I could transfer. And the other is because a lot of my ANDAs, one is multidistrict. I've already done tranches, and I had come into the case thinking there's no way I could ever transfer this one because I've already had a trial. I've been invested. It would not be fair to her.

But if I've not ruled on claim construction,

and, in fact, the only thing I've done is my opinion, which is in writing, which is -- I think this is an ideal case, actually, to transfer to Judge Hall. She's also familiar with the case because she's handled some pretrial matters; right? And she has an open -- a much more open docket. And as I say, I had thought the only ANDA I could give her would be a brand new ANDA. There is one I'm going to give her, which is kind of by itself, and there's been no issues.

But that's what I'm going to do. And I don't want to get involved. What's before me is really just case management issues, and I haven't set a trial date,

apparently, for the second or third tranche.

Go ahead.

MR. SORENSON: If I may, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SORENSON: As I was getting ready for this hearing, I was sort of in the same kind of problem-solving mode that you're in, and your proposal to transfer the case to Judge Hall makes perfect sense to me. The polymorph case is set. It's ready to go. There's no more discovery, nothing more to do. We probably need to revise the pretrial order to consolidate it down and make it easier for that trial. It's a nice, concise, easy case. And you're correct, Judge Hall -- I doubt she remembers this. It was a while ago. There was a flurry of motions back and forth trying to deal with new expert opinion and the claim construction, but she's familiar with that.

The SRI patents, the ones that expire in 2037, they're kind of a different animal here, and I want to explain this to you because I think it's important that you understand that the '284 patent expires in 2029, and that's the one that we want to appeal right away so that we can get that Federal Circuit timeline rolling and at the same time deal with the polymorph trial, whenever it can happen either on your calendar or Judge Hall's calendar. If you recall, you had actually suggested that we consent to her

jurisdiction back when the first trial was cancelled, and we almost got there. I think that makes good sense.

I would suggest, as a potential solution as well, sever the SRI cases into its own case so that we can get that case taken care of on its own timeline but then once the polymorph trial is done and we have the polymorph decision, then we can take that to the Federal Circuit.

THE COURT: I'm pretty confident she would agree to that, but what I would rather do is let her make the call. It makes a lot of sense.

My problem is this: I think that it would take time to deal with the Rule 54 analysis. You want it to get up right now in the Federal Circuit, and I feel for you in a way. But the reality is you didn't make your burden at trial. And you know what? Because I've got to tell you, I don't know why we have to have a clear and convincing evidence standard, but we do.

MR. SORENSON: I understand.

THE COURT: And you just didn't make your burden.

MR. SORENSON: I read your opinion, and I don't, respectfully, agree with you, but I completely understand.

THE COURT: And if it had been a preponderance, you would have won, and somebody ought to really think that -- I researched it, for what it's worth. I didn't

realize the Supreme Court chimed in on the issue, and I guess it's Microsoft. But there's -- really people ought to be thinking why, as a policy matter, we have a clear and convincing evidence standard.

MR. SORENSON: As a battle-scarred generic lawyer, I tend to agree with you.

THE COURT: That's all I'm getting at is, I was sympathetic to the defendants, but, ultimately, I could not make a determination that you had established by clear and convincing evidence.

MR. SORENSON: I understand that, Your Honor. What I'm coming to this hearing with is trying to figure out how to solve the problem we have with the case schedule and case management. What we really need and what my client needs is more certainty. If we have a trial date set for the polymorph trial, they can plan around that date.

THE COURT: Here's what you could do, and I know Judge Hall would do this, is even if they're not going to consent, they'd have to wait until January 1st. She can set up a hearing and look at her calendar and say, "I've got you for trial here." And she's going to have a lot more flexibility than my calendar would.

MR. SORENSON: I don't understand the January 1st part of it.

THE COURT: So January 1st is Judge Andrews

effectively goes senior December 31st. As soon as her commission is signed, I will swear her in. I don't know that the President will sign the commission on January 1st. I can order you to set up a scheduling conference with her or they can consent. You can just do the consent right now. Either way, my point is that she could get on this right away and get you on the calendar, and she's going to have a lot more freedom on her calendar for next year than I do. I'm really booked for next year.

MR. SORENSON: I can't speak for the other parties, of course, but I think that the decision today about consenting to Magistrate Hall's jurisdiction is very different from the decision before the '284 trial as to whether consent to Magistrate Hall makes sense.

THE COURT: I don't know who didn't consent.

Let's move on from that topic. I do recall because, again, of trial scheduling encouraging you all to do that.

But anyway, do you understand why I don't think it's a fruitful exercise to do the Rule 54? Having said that, I had thought -- it was a surprise to me when I understood there was some debate about this because I'm thinking, why am I doing this piecemeal? If that case -- especially if that case --

Do you dispute that case is teed up ready to go to trial?

MR. SORENSON: I do not dispute that at all. 1 THE COURT: Frankly, it even makes more sense in 2 3 terms of judicial efficiency at that point to put those two together, and I'll speak with her. 4 So you'll do the -- in other words, what I'll do 5 is, I'm going to talk to her, get her to schedule as soon as 6 7 she can the polymorph trial, then you guys can get up to the 8 Federal Circuit on both cases. I think that would be beneficial to the defendants too; right? No? 9 MS. HUTTNER: Yes, it would be, Your Honor. 10 just want to point out, and I think counsel alluded to this 11 before, that MSN and Accord are differently situated in that 12 the '339 patent, which is one of the SRI patents, is in the 13 same action as the '284 and the polymorph patents. So we 14 won't be able to appeal as of right unless that is severed 15 out from that case. When you talk --16 17 THE COURT: They might agree to it. I bet 18 they'll agree to sever it out. 19 MR. SITZMAN: Ms. Whitesell will address that. MS. HUTTNER: We've been talking amongst 20 ourselves trying to put a stipulation to that effect, but I 21 just wanted to put that on your radar and perhaps you can 22 convey that to Judge Hall. 23 THE COURT: Ms. Whitesell. 24

MS. WHITESELL: Exactly what counsel is saying,

25

is that we are working through a stipulation to sever the SRIs from polymorph cases and moving it over to consolidating it with second stage other cases of SRIs so they're all together. I don't believe there's any disagreement on it. It's just cleanup on stipulations and getting it on file.

THE COURT: That makes a lot of sense. Let me tell you where I am. I want to make sure you all agree to this, but some combination of Judge Hall and I will issue some combination of orders such that the polymorph tranche will be tried as early as Judge Hall can get it on the calendar. At that point, you all agree that the '284 tranche and the polymorph tranche can go on an appeal to the Federal Circuit. The SRI cases are all severed and treated differently and are put on the back burner. That seems to me the most judicially efficient and fair way.

If I'm wrong, I want that generic on the market as soon as possible. That's why I tried to look at the '284, because what I remember was I thought, I had my doubts and still have my doubts as to the '284, but my point was if I thought, well, if I rule invalid, then it's going to go right away. The public will be able to get a generic, and that would be right. But the way the trial went and the way the evidence was presented, I did not think as an intellectually honest matter I could rule any other way than

I did.

Are you all good with that?

MR. SITZMAN: First of all, yes. And I wanted to all put on the record Taiho, Plaintiffs, consent to Judge Hall's assignment so if the Court is ready to make that phone call and speed things along, we would be more than happy to have that go forward in front of Judge Hall to move that polymorph case as fast as we can.

THE COURT: I want to make sure and on the record. This will be like -- you're agreeing that the polymorph tranche and the '284, once the polymorph is tried, that there's no dispute that both the '284 judgment that I entered or opinion I entered, I guess I should say, and whatever judgment Judge Hall enters with respect to the polymorph tranche become appealable to the Federal Circuit at that point.

MR. SITZMAN: Yes, Your Honor, and I understand exactly what -- yes, they will be final judgments in those cases. And as Ms. Whitesell said, we've already either cleaned up or will be cleaning up pulling the SRIs out of that first set of consolidated cases, so those cases will be done at the end of the polymorph case.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SORENSON: I represent Natco, Your Honor, and I think that sounds pretty good. But for the record,

the agreements that are about to happen I've heard about today for the first time.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SORENSON: Doesn't in concept cause me any concern, but I need to carve out the room to be able to consult with my clients in India on that. My only concern is your comment about the back burner for the SRI patents. As long as we can stay on a relatively --

THE COURT: In other words, "back burner"

means -- you have to step back. When is the polymorph -- I

don't think Judge Hall is going to say stay your case.

That's not what I mean by "back burner."

MR. SORENSON: All I mean by "not back burner" is a date certain relatively soon. Not that the date is relatively soon but that we have a date relatively soon we can plan around. So if the SRI patents get tried sometime in 2025, at least we know when that trial is. That's what I mean.

THE COURT: The polymorph doesn't expire until 2034?

MR. SORENSON: 2034, correct.

THE COURT: I've got to tell you, I'm sorry.

you've already had one patent trial and you lost and the

patent was upheld as valid and it's good through 2029 and

then you're going to get a second that -- a second set of

Ιf

patents tried that don't expire until 2034, you don't get to try your third trial in any kind of timely fashion to see if patents that don't expire until 2037 are valid. We can't operate like that. That's my point, is get this to the Federal Circuit. That was what was driving me when I said let me try do the '284 right away. And so, no, I'm not going to guarantee you anything about SRI, and I'm not going to tell Judge Hall she should put on the front burner or the middle burner, she should do anything other than let's get the polymorphs and '284 up to the Federal Circuit.

MR. SORENSON: I completely agree with that. I did not mean to distract the conversation away from that conclusion. My only point is that I need some time to consult with my client about the agreement about severing out the SRI patents and having those be completely separate. I think it's going to be just fine. I'm only saying that these conversations --

THE COURT: That sounds like you don't want an appeal.

MR. SORENSON: No, I want an appeal on the '284 and polymorphs.

THE COURT: Why wouldn't you sever out the SRI?

I don't get it. I'm trying to figure out why you wouldn't

do that if you want an appeal.

MR. SORENSON: Natco has not been sued on -- I'm

sorry. On the SRI patents, no. We want the SRI patents to get to trial and get an appeal eventually, yes. The whole point of trying to go on appeal for the '284 now is not have to wait until all of the patents, all including the SRI patents, are adjudicated in the district court before we get to the Federal Circuit.

THE COURT: You're not going to have to. What I just laid out --

MR. SORENSON: Correct. All I'm saying is that when you say "back burner" on the SRI patents, I'm hoping that doesn't mean that we are in limbo for a very long time in terms of when the trial gets set. That's all.

THE COURT: I'm going to leave that to Judge Hall, and it's going to depend. If I were Judge Hall, I wouldn't touch those cases if I thought I could quickly try the polymorph and get it up to Federal Circuit and get a decision. If the Federal Circuit affirms -- let's say she found the patents were not invalid and infringed, the polymorphs, and let's say they affirmed me; right? You're not getting on the market until 2034 no matter what. So why would a judge spend any time on the SRI patents?

MR. SORENSON: Because the Federal Circuit may reverse the '284 decision.

THE COURT: I just said if. That's true, they may reverse the '284.

MR. SORENSON: There's an if on my side of the equation too. If the Federal Circuit has a different opinion on the '284 and if we lose on the polymorph patents and if the Federal Circuit has a different decision on those two, those are all ifs that I recognize. But in these cases, there's always inflection points. There's always points where both sides, once they have a definitive answer, have an opportunity to talk to each other as business people and resolve the case.

THE COURT: The good news is -- and I think this is a good way the resolve the case for all, for the Court and both parties and especially the defendants. I think Judge Hall will be able to get you a quick trial on the polymorph. If I granted the Rule 54(b), how long is the Federal Circuit going to take before they decide that? That could be longer than Judge Hall could get you in trial for the polymorphs.

MR. SORENSON: I was Googling that. I think the mean time to decision is 14 months in 2022.

THE COURT: 14 months to decide whether there's a 54(b) judgment or not?

MR. SORENSON: I thought you were asking about the timeline it takes for a Federal Circuit appeal to complete.

THE COURT: It takes -- that makes sense. But

it also takes time for them to decide whether or not a 54(b) decision was correct or not. And I'm saying that timeframe, I'm willing to bet, isn't that much different than Judge Hall could have you before her trying the polymorph case.

I'm going to talk to her right after this and encourage her to do it as soon as she can. She's got -- she has -- she does have things in her schedule, but you know.

All right. You can talk to your client. Let's go through what are the decision points.

So I'm going to deny the application for Rule 54(b) appeal. Going to deny that. Not that I'm not sympathetic to the defendants. I am going to -- you all should -- I would encourage you to get on file a consent to Magistrate Judge Hall taking over in their entirety all of these cases. Regardless of whether you do that, when she becomes a district court judge in January, she will be assigned these cases as a district court judge. If you consent to her -- and they've already said they will consent, so there should be no hold-up on this. In other words, they can't delay this.

You all file a consent this week. I'm going to ask her to convene, as soon as possible, a scheduling conference, and I'm going to encourage her to schedule as early as possible the polymorph trial, and I'm going to tell her that that way both -- whatever decision she would render

on that trial and my decision, the parties agree, would be 1 appealable immediately to go to the Federal Circuit, and 2 3 then she can do what she wants with the third tranche, the SRI tranche. 4 MR. SORENSON: That sounds good to me. 5 THE COURT: You're good with that? 6 7 MR. SITZMAN: That's good with me. THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate you all 8 being collegial about that. 9 Anything else? 10 MS. HUTTNER: No, Your Honor. I think, just for 11 the record, we're all in the same situation as Mr. Sitzman 12 regarding client consents. 13 THE COURT: Makes sense. Well, great. 14 good morning. Thank you. 15 CERTIFICATE 16 17 I, Deanna L. Warner, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, 18 do hereby certify that as such Certified Shorthand Reporter, I was present at and reported in Stenotype shorthand the 19 above and foregoing proceedings. 20 21 22

Deanna L. Warner, CSR, #1687 Official Court Reporter U.S. District Court

24

23

25

#	8	appeared [1] - 4:7	7:2, 7:17, 8:4, 8:8,	15:12
π		application [1] - 24:10	8:13, 9:3, 9:7, 9:13,	comment [1] - 20:7
		appreciate [2] - 10:18,	11:6, 11:7, 11:12,	commission [2] -
#1687 [1] - 25:22	8th [1] - 1:12	25:8	12:2, 12:5, 12:11,	16:2, 16:3
		appropriately [1] -	12:16, 12:18, 12:25,	complete [1] - 23:24
•	Α	9:21	13:7, 13:8, 13:12,	completely [3] -
		argument [1] - 5:16	14:4, 14:5, 15:13,	14:22, 21:11, 21:15
1004		arguments [1] - 6:12	15:14, 16:22, 16:23,	concept [1] - 20:4
'284 [28] - 4:11, 4:14,	able [7] - 5:15, 7:18,	asserting [1] - 5:23	16:24, 17:16, 19:8,	concern [2] - 20:5,
5:5, 5:7, 6:1, 6:4,	7:21, 17:15, 18:22,	assigned [1] - 24:17	19:22, 20:11, 23:9,	20:6
7:17, 8:3, 8:21, 9:3,	20:5, 23:13	assignment [1] - 19:5	23:11, 24:4	concise [1] - 13:12
10:4, 10:17, 10:20,	absolutely [1] - 8:1	attack [1] - 7:13	Case [1] - 1:5	conclusion [1] - 21:13
13:20, 16:13, 17:14, 18:12, 18:19, 18:20,	accord [1] - 17:12	August [2] - 8:13, 8:14	CASENUM [1] - 1:6	conference [2] - 16:4,
19:11, 19:12, 21:6,	Accord [1] - 3:19	automatic [1] - 5:14	cases [28] - 4:15, 4:24,	24:23
21:10, 21:20, 22:3,	action [1] - 17:14	available [1] - 11:10	5:2, 5:12, 6:4, 6:9,	confident [1] - 14:8
22:23, 22:25, 23:3	address [2] - 4:5,		7:1, 7:2, 7:7, 11:17,	Connie [1] - 3:20
'339 [1] - 17:13	17:19	В	11:18, 11:21, 11:22,	CONNIE [1] - 2:11
333 [i] - 17.10	adjudicated [1] - 22:5		11:23, 12:1, 14:4,	Connolly [1] - 1:12
1	affirmed [1] - 22:19		17:8, 18:2, 18:3,	consent [11] - 13:25,
ı	affirms [1] - 22:17	BARROS [1] - 1:19	18:14, 19:19, 19:21,	15:19, 16:5, 16:14,
	ago [1] - 13:14	Barros [1] - 3:4	22:15, 23:6, 24:15,	16:15, 19:4, 24:13,
14 [2] - 23:19, 23:20	agree [13] - 4:22, 4:23, 10:16, 10:18, 14:8,	battle [1] - 15:5	24:17	24:18, 24:19, 24:21
1st [4] - 15:19, 15:24,		battle-scarred [1] -	certain [2] - 11:16,	consenting [1] - 16:12
15:25, 16:3	14:22, 15:6, 17:17, 17:18, 18:8, 18:12,	15:5	20:14	consents [1] - 25:13
	21:11, 25:1	become [1] - 19:15	certainty [1] - 15:15	conserve [1] - 5:24
2	agreed [4] - 9:10,	becomes [1] - 24:16	Certified [2] - 25:17,	consolidate [1] -
	9:13, 9:14, 9:20	behalf [5] - 3:2, 3:4,	25:18	13:11
2022 [1] - 23:19	agreeing [1] - 19:10	3:8, 3:14, 3:19	certify [1] - 25:18	consolidated [1] -
2022 [1] - 23.19 2023 [1] - 1:13	agreement [1] - 21:14	beneficial [1] - 17:9	certifying [1] - 6:11	19:21
2024 [1] - 7:10	agreements [1] - 20:1	bet [2] - 17:17, 24:3	cetera [1] - 7:9	consolidating [1] -
2025 [1] - 20:17	ahead [1] - 13:2	Bill [1] - 3:10	Chief [1] - 1:12	18:3
2029 [3] - 7:18, 13:20,	al [2] - 1:4, 1:7	booked [1] - 16:9	chimed [1] - 15:1	construction [7] -
20:24	allow [1] - 5:11	bottom [1] - 6:14	Chris [1] - 3:15	8:22, 8:25, 9:11,
2030-whatever [1] -	alluded [1] - 17:11	BOWERS [1] - 1:18	CHRIS [1] - 2:4	10:13, 12:7, 12:14,
7:24	almost [1] - 14:2	bowers [1] - 3:3	circuit [2] - 5:18,	13:15
2034 [5] - 7:7, 20:20,	amended [1] - 9:19	brand [2] - 11:25,	21:10	construe [1] - 8:17
20:21, 21:1, 22:20	analysis [1] - 14:12	12:21	Circuit [19] - 6:5, 6:13,	consult [2] - 20:6,
2037 [6] - 7:5, 7:6, 7:7,	AND [1] - 1:2	burden [2] - 14:14,	7:14, 13:22, 14:7, 14:13, 17:8, 18:14,	21:14
7:23, 13:17, 21:3	ANDA [5] - 12:1, 12:2,	14:20	19:15, 21:5, 22:6,	conundrum [1] - 8:1
	12:8, 12:20, 12:21	burner [8] - 18:15,	22:16, 22:17, 22:22,	convene [1] - 24:22
3	ANDAs[1] - 12:9	20:7, 20:9, 20:12,	23:2, 23:4, 23:15,	convenience [1] - 8:9
	Andrews [1] - 15:25	20:13, 21:8, 21:9, 22:10	23:23, 25:2	conversation [1] -
	Angela [1] - 3:2	business [1] - 23:8	claim [5] - 8:21, 10:12,	21:12
31st [1] - 16:1	ANGELA[1] - 1:16	BY [4] - 1:16, 1:24,	12:7, 12:14, 13:15	conversations [1] -
4	animal [1] - 13:18	2:8, 2:10	claims [2] - 5:22, 8:17	21:17
4	answer [1] - 23:7		cleaned [1] - 19:20	convey [1] - 17:23
	anyway [1] - 16:18	С	cleaning [1] - 19:20	convincing [3] -
4B [1] - 1:12	appeal [15] - 4:25,		cleanup [1] - 18:5	14:16, 15:4, 15:10
	5:12, 5:14, 7:2, 7:13,		clear [3] - 14:16, 15:3,	correct [5] - 10:24,
5	13:21, 17:15, 18:13,	calendar [7] - 13:24,	15:9	13:12, 20:21, 22:9, 24:2
	21:19, 21:20, 21:24,	15:20, 15:22, 16:7,	client [4] - 15:14,	CORTLAN [1] - 1:21
	22:2, 22:3, 23:23,	16:8, 18:12	21:14, 24:8, 25:13	Cortlan [1] - 3:9
50/50 [1] - 5:19	24:11	cancelled [1] - 14:1	clients [1] - 20:6	counsel [7] - 1:20,
54 [4] - 6:10, 6:11,	appealable [2] -	care [1] - 14:5	CO [1] - 1:3	1:25, 6:19, 7:14,
14:12, 16:19	19:15, 25:2	carpet [1] - 9:18	collegial [1] - 25:9	10:7, 17:11, 17:25
54(b [8] - 5:8, 5:10,	appealed [2] - 4:1, 5:3	carve [1] - 20:5	Colm [1] - 1:11	Counsel [2] - 2:6, 2:12
5:13, 5:16, 23:14,	appearance [1] - 4:12	case [44] - 4:2, 4:9,	combination [2] -	counsel's [1] - 10:18
23:21, 24:1, 24:11	APPEARANCES[1] -	4:10, 4:19, 4:23, 5:1,	18:9, 18:10	couple [1] - 10:10
58 [1] - 12:1	1:15	5:11, 6:1, 6:18, 6:22,	coming [2] - 11:15,	course [1] - 16:11

Court [10] - 4:5, 5:9, 6:20, 7:15, 15:1, 19:5, 23:11, 25:23, 25:23 court [4] - 11:15, 22:5, 24:16, 24:17 **COURT** [53] - 1:1, 3:6, 3:11, 3:17, 3:22, 4:6, 4:9, 4:13, 4:22, 5:4, 5:15, 7:4, 7:21, 8:11, 8:15, 8:17, 8:21, 8:24, 9:2, 9:12, 9:23, 10:15, 10:22, 11:11, 13:4, 14:8, 14:19, 14:23, 15:7, 15:17, 15:25, 16:15, 17:2, 17:17, 17:24, 18:7, 19:9, 19:23, 20:3, 20:9, 20:19, 20:22, 21:18, 21:22, 22:7, 22:13, 22:24, 23:10, 23:20, 23:25, 25:6, 25:8, 25:14 Court's [1] - 8:9 Courtroom [1] - 1:12 courts [1] - 5:23 cripple [1] - 5:23 CSR [1] - 25:22 cumbersome [1] -12:4 current [1] - 11:16

D

DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:18 date [9] - 6:24, 8:15, 10:22, 12:25, 15:15, 15:16, 20:14, 20:15 days [1] - 11:8 deal [4] - 10:12, 13:15, 13:23, 14:12 Deanna [2] - 25:17, 25:22 debate [1] - 16:21 December [1] - 16:1 decide [4] - 6:13, 23:15, 23:20, 24:1 decided [4] - 5:13, 8:18, 8:19, 10:10 decision [14] - 10:4, 10:5, 10:21, 14:7, 16:11, 16:13, 22:17, 22:23, 23:4, 23:19, 24:2, 24:9, 24:25, 25:1 decisions [2] - 10:16, 12:5

deeply [1] - 3:25

15:8, 17:9, 23:12, 24:12 defense [1] - 6:19 defer [1] - 9:4 definitive [1] - 23:7 **DELAWARE**[1] - 1:2 delay [1] - 24:20 deny [2] - 24:10, 24:11 determination [2] -10:6, 15:9 different [5] - 13:18, 16:13, 23:2, 23:4, 24:3 differently [2] - 17:12, 18:15 difficult [1] - 12:2 disagreement [1] -18:5 discovery [6] - 6:23, 7:8, 7:9, 11:3, 13:9 discretionary [2] -5:17, 5:20 dispute [3] - 16:24, 17:1, 19:12 distract [1] - 21:12 District [1] - 25:23 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:1, 1:2 district [3] - 22:5, 24:16, 24:17 **DLA**[3] - 1:16, 3:2, 3:3 docket [3] - 11:17, 11:20, 12:19 done [10] - 6:3, 6:23, 7:7, 7:12, 8:14, 12:10, 12:15, 14:6, 19:22 doubt [1] - 13:13 doubts [2] - 18:19, 18:20 down [2] - 12:1, 13:11

Defendant [1] - 1:8

defendants [5] - 6:21,

Ε

driving [1] - 21:5

early [2] - 18:11, 24:24 easier [1] - 13:11 easy [1] - 13:12 effect [1] - 17:21 effectively [1] - 16:1 efficiency [2] - 6:6, 17:3 efficient [4] - 6:9, 6:15, 10:8, 18:16 efficiently [1] - 5:24 either [5] - 7:13, 11:5, 13:23, 16:6, 19:19 ell [1] - 14:3 encourage [3] - 24:6, 24:13, 24:23 encouraging [1] -16:17 end [3] - 4:11, 7:8, 19.22 entered [2] - 19:13 enters [1] - 19:14 entirety [1] - 24:14 equation [1] - 23:2 equitable [1] - 11:18 Erin [1] - 3:3 ERIN [1] - 1:18 especially [4] - 12:4, 12:7, 16:23, 23:12 **ESQ** [14] - 1:16, 1:17, 1:17, 1:18, 1:18, 1:19, 1:21, 1:24, 2:2, 2:4, 2:5, 2:8, 2:10, 2:11 established [1] - 15:9 et [3] - 1:3, 1:7, 7:9 Eugia [2] - 1:25, 3:9 **EUGIA**[1] - 1:7 eventually [1] - 22:2 evidence [4] - 14:17, 15:4, 15:10, 18:24 exact [1] - 5:10 exactly [4] - 5:7, 7:25, 17:25, 19:18 exercise [1] - 16:19 expert [2] - 7:9, 13:15 experts [2] - 10:9, expire [6] - 7:4, 7:18, 13:17, 20:19, 21:1, 21:3 expires [1] - 13:20 explain [2] - 11:14, 13:19

F

facing [1] - 8:2

fact [2] - 7:8, 12:15 facts [3] - 9:14, 9:20, 10:1 fair [3] - 11:20, 12:13, 18:16 fairly [1] - 11:7 fallen [1] - 11:5 familiar [2] - 12:17, 13:16 far [2] - 10:19, 12:8 farnan [1] - 3:14 FARNAN [2] - 2:2, 3:13 Farnan [1] - 3:12 fashion [1] - 21:2 fast [1] - 19:8 Federal [21] - 5:18, 6:5, 6:12, 7:14, 13:22, 14:7, 14:13, 17:8, 18:14, 19:15, 21:5, 21:10, 22:6, 22:16, 22:17, 22:22, 23:2, 23:4, 23:15, 23:23, 25:2 fight [1] - 10:7 figure [8] - 4:3, 5:21, 6:1, 6:8, 6:21, 8:2, 15:12, 21:23 file [3] - 18:6, 24:13, 24:21 final [7] - 4:24, 5:2, 5:4, 5:6, 6:25, 7:12, 19:18 fine [2] - 10:12, 21:16 FINGER [1] - 2:1 Finger [1] - 3:14 finished [1] - 3:25 **finishing** [1] - 11:2 first [16] - 3:7, 4:2, 4:5, 4:7, 4:12, 4:14, 7:17, 9:7, 9:17, 9:20, 9:21, 14:1, 19:3, 19:21, 20.2 flexibility [1] - 15:22 flurry [1] - 13:14 **FOR** [1] - 1:2 foregoing [1] - 25:20 forth [1] - 13:14 forward [7] - 6:15, 6:17, 6:22, 7:11, 7:15, 8:3, 19:7 four [1] - 7:1 frankly [1] - 17:2 freedom [1] - 16:8 front [2] - 19:7, 21:8 fruitful [1] - 16:19 frustrating [1] - 12:7

G

generic [3] - 15:5, 18:17, 18:22 go-round [1] - 9:20 Googling [1] - 23:18 Gould [2] - 3:15, 3:16 GOULD [1] - 2:4 grant [1] - 5:16 granted [1] - 23:14 great [1] - 25:14 guarantee [1] - 21:7 guess [4] - 3:7, 3:24, 15:2, 19:13 guys [1] - 17:7

Н

half [1] - 11:8 hall [21] - 9:9, 11:22, 12:3, 12:4, 12:17, 13:8, 13:13, 15:18, 16:14, 18:9, 18:11, 19:7, 19:14, 20:11, 21:8, 22:14, 23:13, 23:16, 24:4, 24:14 Hall [1] - 17:23 hall's [3] - 13:24, 16:12, 19:5 handled [1] - 12:18 happy [2] - 4:19, 19:7 hard [1] - 11:18 Hare [2] - 3:10 HARE [2] - 1:23, 1:24 head [1] - 11:9 hear [2] - 6:9 heard [1] - 20:1 hearing [6] - 6:19, 7:15, 9:18, 13:6, 15:12, 15:20 help [2] - 4:6, 11:1 hereby [1] - 25:18 hesitancy [1] - 5:16 hit [1] - 11:22 Hitch [1] - 3:7 **HITCH** [2] - 1:21, 3:8 hitch [1] - 3:9 hold [1] - 24:19 hold-up [1] - 24:19 honest [1] - 18:25 Honor [19] - 3:1, 3:8, 3:13, 3:18, 4:4, 4:8, 4:11, 4:17, 5:7, 7:25, 8:1, 9:6, 10:25, 13:3, 15:11, 17:10, 19:17, 19:24, 25:11 Honorable [1] - 1:11 hoping [1] - 22:10 hours [1] - 6:8 **HUTTNER** [4] - 2:11, 17:10, 17:20, 25:11 Huttner [1] - 3:21

ı

ideal [1] - 12:16 identified [1] - 12:8 identify [1] - 12:2 identifying [1] - 11:18 ifs [1] - 23:5 immediately [1] - 25:2 important [1] - 13:19 IN [2] - 1:1, 1:2 inaudible [1] - 9:8 Markman [4] - 9:10,

10:6, 10:9, 10:11

including [1] - 22:4 incorporated [2] -9:15, 9:16 India [1] - 20:6 inflection [1] - 23:6 infringed [1] - 22:18 infringement [1] -11:4 inherit [1] - 12:6 instance [1] - 11:20 intellectually [1] -18:25 introducing [1] - 3:2 invalid [2] - 18:21, 22:18 invested [1] - 12:13 involved [1] - 12:24 ironic [1] - 9:13 issue [7] - 8:5, 8:7, 8:19, 10:9, 10:13, 15:1, 18:9 issues [3] - 11:4, 12:22, 12:25 itself [1] - 12:22

J

James [1] - 3:9 JAMES [1] - 1:21 January [5] - 15:19, 15:24, 15:25, 16:3, 24:16 **Jason** [1] - 3:15 **JASON** [1] - 2:5 **JENKINS** [1] - 2:7 joined [1] - 3:15 joining [1] - 3:9 Josh [1] - 3:20 **JOSH** [1] - 2:10 Judge [25] - 1:12, 9:9, 11:21, 12:3, 12:4, 12:17, 13:8, 13:13, 13:24, 15:18, 15:25, 17:23, 18:9, 18:11, 19:4, 19:7, 19:14, 20:11, 21:8, 22:13, 22:14, 23:13, 23:16, 24:3, 24:14 judge [6] - 11:15, 11:21, 12:6, 22:21, 24:16, 24:17 judges [1] - 11:16 judgment [6] - 4:24, 5:4, 5:6, 19:12, 19:14, 23:21 judgments [4] - 5:2, 6:25, 7:12, 19:18 judicial [2] - 5:25, 17:3

judicially [1] - 18:16

jurisdiction [2] - 14:1, 16:12

K

KATZENSTEIN [1] - 2:7 Katzenstein [1] - 3:19 KELLY [1] - 2:2 Kelly [1] - 3:13 kerfuffle [1] - 9:7 kick [1] - 5:14 kind [5] - 4:2, 12:22, 13:6, 13:18, 21:2 knows [1] - 6:13

L

laid [1] - 22:8 LANE [1] - 2:10 LARSON [1] - 1:18 Larson [1] - 3:4 last [4] - 4:20, 5:18, 7:15. 8:2 latter [1] - 7:10 launch [3] - 7:18, 7:21, 7:23 lawyer [1] - 15:6 lawyers [2] - 5:22, 6:8 **LAYTON**[1] - 2:1 Layton [1] - 3:14 learn [1] - 9:24 least [2] - 11:6, 20:17 leave [1] - 22:13 legal [2] - 6:12, 9:2 lesson [1] - 9:25 light [1] - 10:2 likely [2] - 7:9, 11:4 limbo [1] - 22:11 **LLP** [4] - 1:21, 1:23, 2:7, 2:10 look [4] - 5:21, 5:22, 15:20, 18:18 looking [2] - 6:6, 11:6 lose [1] - 23:3 lost [1] - 20:23 LTD [2] - 1:3, 1:7

M

magistrate [4] - 9:9, 16:12, 16:14, 24:14 management [2] -12:25, 15:14 Marcela [1] - 3:4 MARCELO [1] - 1:19 market [2] - 18:17, 22:20 Marx [1] - 3:20 MARX [1] - 2:10 matter [4] - 11:25, 15:3, 18:25, 22:20 matters [1] - 12:18 max [1] - 11:8 MCNEELY [1] - 1:23 McNeely [1] - 3:10 mean [7] - 11:19, 20:12, 20:13, 20:18, 21:12. 22:11. 23:19 means [1] - 20:10 meant [1] - 4:9 Megan [1] - 3:3 MEGAN [1] - 1:18 Merchant [1] - 3:15 **MERCHANT**[1] - 2:4 merchant [1] - 3:16 Microsoft [1] - 15:2 middle [1] - 21:9 midstream [2] - 11:19, 11:24 might [2] - 9:4, 17:17 MIKE [1] - 1:17 Mike [1] - 3:3 miller [1] - 3:20 MILLER [2] - 1:17, 2:10 Miller [1] - 3:3 MITTENDORF[1] -2:10 mode [1] - 13:7 months [2] - 23:19, 23:20 morning [6] - 3:1, 3:6, 3:8, 3:13, 3:18, 25:15 MORRIS [1] - 1:21 Morris [1] - 3:9 most [3] - 6:8, 6:14, 18:16 motion [1] - 9:8 motions [1] - 13:14 move [5] - 6:17, 7:11, 7:14, 16:16, 19:7 moving [1] - 18:2 MR [48] - 3:8, 3:18, 4:4, 4:8, 4:10, 4:17, 5:1, 5:6, 6:16, 7:6, 7:25, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:23, 8:25, 9:4, 9:6, 9:17, 10:1, 10:18, 10:24, 13:3, 13:5, 14:18, 14:21, 15:5, 15:11, 15:23, 16:10, 17:1, 17:19,

19:3, 19:17, 19:24,

20:4, 20:13, 20:21,

21:11, 21:20, 21:25, 22:9, 22:22, 23:1, 23:18, 23:22, 25:5, 25:7

MS [6] - 3:1, 3:13, 17:10, 17:20, 17:25, 25:11

MSN [2] - 3:19, 17:12

MSN/Accord [1] - 2:12

multidistrict [1] - 12:10

multiple [1] - 5:13

Ν

Natco [4] - 2:6, 3:14, 19:24, 21:25 need [7] - 4:2, 6:9, 8:19, 13:10, 15:14, 20:5, 21:13 needed [2] - 8:23, 9:1 needs [2] - 6:24, 15:15 new [8] - 6:23, 11:15, 11:20, 11:21, 11:25, 12:21, 13:15 news [1] - 23:10 next [2] - 16:8, 16:9 nice [2] - 3:22, 13:12 nothing [2] - 10:20, 13:10 November [1] - 1:13

0

number [1] - 11:16

OF [2] - 1:2, 1:9 offer [1] - 10:25 Official [1] - 25:23 old [1] - 11:24 once [4] - 5:13, 14:5, 19:11, 23:7 one [16] - 5:13, 7:6, 7:18, 7:22, 8:16, 8:19, 9:14, 9:23, 10:25, 12:8, 12:9, 12:12, 12:21, 13:21, 17:13, 20:23 ones [1] - 13:17 open [2] - 12:19 operate [1] - 21:4 opinion [9] - 3:25, 5:19, 6:2, 9:3, 12:15, 13:15, 14:21, 19:13, 23:3 **opportunity** [1] - 23:8 order [4] - 9:19, 11:8, 13:11, 16:4 orders [1] - 18:10

ought [2] - 14:24, 15:2 ourselves [1] - 17:21 own [2] - 14:4, 14:5

Р

P.A [1] - 2:1 P.C [1] - 2:4 pandemic [1] - 8:6 part [2] - 7:10, 15:24 parties [4] - 8:10, 16:11, 23:12, 25:1 patent [8] - 5:5, 5:13, 7:19, 11:1, 13:20, 17:13, 20:23, 20:24 patents [22] - 4:19, 5:13, 5:22, 7:4, 7:23, 9:8, 13:17, 17:13, 17:14, 20:7, 20:16, 21:1, 21:3, 21:15, 22:1, 22:4, 22:5, 22:10, 22:18, 22:21, 23:3 people [4] - 9:15, 9:24, 15:2, 23:9 perfect [1] - 13:8 perhaps [1] - 17:22 perspective [1] - 11:1 PHARMA [1] - 1:7 **PHARMACEUTICAL** [1] - 1:3 **phone** [1] - 19:6 piece [1] - 10:25 piecemeal [1] - 16:22 piper [2] - 3:2, 3:3 **PIPER** [1] - 1:16 pit [1] - 21:8 **plaintiff** [1] - 3:4 Plaintiff [1] - 1:20

plaintiffs [1] - 19:4 **Plaintiffs** [1] - 1:5 plan [2] - 15:16, 20:16 point [12] - 4:15, 4:23, 5:7, 16:6, 17:3, 17:11, 18:12, 18:20, 19:16, 21:4, 21:13, 22:3 pointed [1] - 7:15 points [3] - 23:6, 23:7, 24:9 policy [1] - 15:3 polymorph [35] - 4:19, 4:23, 5:1, 6:17, 6:22, 7:7, 7:11, 8:4, 8:8, 9:8, 10:6, 10:8, 10:11, 13:8, 13:23, 14:6, 15:16, 17:7, 17:14, 18:2, 18:10, 18:13, 19:8, 19:11,

19:15, 19:22, 20:10,	really [5] - 12:24,	,
20:19, 22:16, 23:3,	14:24, 15:2, 15:14,	١,
23:14, 24:4, 24:24	16:9	١,
polymorphs [4] -	reason [1] - 7:13	
21:10, 21:21, 22:19,	reassign [1] - 11:12	١,
23:17	recently [1] - 9:24	١,
poor [1] - 11:21	recognize [1] - 23:5	
possible [3] - 18:18,	record [5] - 10:20,	١,
24:22, 24:24	19:4, 19:10, 19:25,	١,
potential [1] - 14:3	25:12	
precious [1] - 5:25	redo [1] - 9:21	١,
preponderance [1] -	regard [1] - 12:7	١,
14:23	regarding [1] - 25:13	١,
present [1] - 25:19	regardless [1] - 24:15	
presented [1] - 18:24	related [2] - 8:6, 9:7	
president [1] - 16:3	relatively [4] - 20:8,	
pretrial [4] - 9:18,	20:14, 20:15	١,
9:19, 12:18, 13:10	reluctant [1] - 6:11	١,
pretty [2] - 14:8, 19:25	remaining [2] - 7:2,	
problem [4] - 7:17,	7:6	
13:6, 14:11, 15:13	remember [4] - 4:19,	
problem-solving [1] -	9:17, 10:20, 18:19	١,
13:6	remembers [1] - 13:13	
proceed [1] - 6:22	render [1] - 24:25	;
PROCEEDING [2] -	reported [1] - 25:19	١,
1:9, 1:11	Reporter [3] - 25:17,	
proceedings [1] -	25:18, 25:23	١,
25:20	reports [1] - 10:10	١,
proposal [1] - 13:7	represent [1] - 19:24	1
public [1] - 18:22	required [1] - 9:11	
pulling [1] - 19:20	researched [1] - 14:25	:
put [6] - 6:21, 17:3,	resolve [2] - 23:9,	:
17:21, 17:22, 18:15,	23:11	;
19:4	resources [1] - 5:25	:
	respect [1] - 19:14	:
Q	respectfully [1] -	:
	14:22	;
autolero 11.7 00:40	retrospect [1] - 6:3	:
quick [2] - 11:7, 23:13	reverse [2] - 22:23,	:
quickly [3] - 6:22,	22:25	
11:5, 22:15	revise [1] - 13:10	;
	RICHARDS [1] - 2:1	;
R	Pichards (4) - 3:1/	١,

25:18, 25:23 reports [1] - 10:10 represent [1] - 19:24 required [1] - 9:11 researched [1] - 14:25 resolve [2] - 23:9, 23:11 resources [1] - 5:25 respect [1] - 19:14 respectfully [1] 14:22 retrospect [1] - 6:3 reverse [2] - 22:23, 22:25 revise [1] - 13:10 RICHARDS [1] - 2:1 Richards [1] - 3:14 risk [1] - 6:11 roll [1] - 10:7 rolling [1] - 13:22 room [2] - 5:22, 20:5 round [1] - 9:20 Rule [10] - 5:8, 5:10, 5:13, 5:16, 6:10, 6:11, 14:12, 16:19, 23:14, 24:10 rule [2] - 18:21, 18:25 ruled [1] - 12:14 rulings [1] - 9:2

radar [1] - 17:22

rather [1] - 14:9

reached [1] - 9:19

read [3] - 6:19, 10:2,

ready [15] - 4:20, 6:18,

6:24, 7:9, 8:8, 8:10,

8:11, 8:14, 10:7,

reality [1] - 14:14

realize [1] - 15:1

13:5, 13:9, 16:24,

raise [1] - 6:12

RAY [1] - 1:17

Ray [1] - 3:3

14:21

19:5

scarce [1] - 5:25 scarred [1] - 15:5 schedule [4] - 15:13, 17:6, 24:7, 24:23 scheduled [1] - 8:9 scheduling [4] - 8:6, 16:4, 16:17, 24:22 seat [1] - 11:13 second [5] - 10:23, 13:1, 18:3, 20:25 see [2] - 3:22, 21:2 senior [1] - 16:1 sense [9] - 10:14, 13:8, 14:2, 14:10, 16:14, 17:2, 18:7, 23:25, 25:14 **separate** [1] - 21:15 set [10] - 8:16, 10:13, 12:25, 13:9, 15:15, 15:19, 16:4, 19:21, 20:25, 22:12 sever [4] - 14:4, 17:18, 18:1, 21:22 several [2] - 5:2, 6:20 severed [2] - 17:15, 18:14 severing [1] - 21:14 **shoes** [1] - 5:10 **Shorthand** [2] - 25:17, 25:18 shorthand [1] - 25:19 shot [1] - 5:19 SI [1] - 21:15 sickness [1] - 8:5 side [2] - 10:3, 23:1 sides [2] - 10:1, 23:7 sign [1] - 16:3 signed [1] - 16:2 sit [3] - 4:14, 8:20, 11:2 **situated** [1] - 17:12 **situation** [1] - 25:12 **sitzman** [1] - 3:3 **Sitzman** [1] - 25:12 **SITZMAN** [22] - 1:17, 4:4, 4:8, 4:10, 4:17, 5:1, 5:6, 6:16, 7:6, 7:25, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:23, 8:25, 9:4, 10:18, 10:24, 17:19, 19:3, 19:17, 25:7 six [3] - 9:14, 9:22, 9:24 **SMITH** [1] - 2:7 **Smith** [1] - 3:19 solution [1] - 14:3 solve [1] - 15:13 **solving** [1] - 13:6 sometime [1] - 20:16 soon [8] - 16:1, 17:6,

18:18, 20:14, 20:15, 24:6, 24:22 **SORENSON** [26] - 2:4, 9:6, 9:17, 10:1, 13:3, 13:5, 14:18, 14:21, 15:5, 15:11, 15:23, 16:10. 17:1. 19:24. 20:4. 20:13. 20:21. 21:11, 21:20, 21:25, 22:9, 22:22, 23:1, 23:18, 23:22, 25:5 Sorenson [2] - 3:15, 9.5 sorry [2] - 20:22, 22:1 sort [1] - 13:6 sounds [3] - 19:25, 21:18, 25:5 SPECIALTIES [1] - 1:7 speed [1] - 19:6 spend [2] - 5:18, 22:21 spent [2] - 6:1, 6:7 sport [1] - 6:2 SRI [16] - 8:13, 11:1, 13:17, 14:4, 17:13, 18:14, 20:7, 20:16, 21:7, 21:22, 22:1, 22:4, 22:10, 22:21, 25:4 SRIs [3] - 18:2, 18:3, 19:20 stage [1] - 18:3 stand [1] - 10:5 standard [2] - 14:17, 15:4 standards [1] - 6:10 started [1] - 10:10 **STATES**[1] - 1:1 states [1] - 9:15 stay [2] - 20:8, 20:11 Stenotype [1] - 25:19 step [1] - 20:10 still [1] - 18:20 **stipulated** [1] - 11:5 **stipulation** [2] - 17:21, 18:1 stipulations [1] - 18:5 subject [2] - 5:17, 11:25 substantial [1] - 10:4 substituted [1] - 4:10 sued [1] - 21:25 suggest [2] - 6:16, 14:3 suggested [1] - 13:25 supplementation [1] -10:19 **Supreme** [1] - 15:1 **surprise** [1] - 16:20 swear [1] - 16:2

sympathetic [2] **-** 15:8, 24:12

Т

TAIHO[1] - 1:3 Taiho [2] - 3:5, 19:4 Taylor [3] - 3:17, 3:19, 3:23 **TAYLOR** [2] - 2:8, 3:18 teed [1] - 16:24 tend [1] - 15:6 terms [3] - 9:10, 17:3, 22:12 **THE** [54] - 1:1, 1:2, 3:6, 3:11, 3:17, 3:22, 4:6, 4:9, 4:13, 4:22, 5:4, 5:15, 7:4, 7:21, 8:11, 8:15, 8:17, 8:21, 8:24, 9:2, 9:12, 9:23, 10:15, 10:22, 11:11, 13:4, 14:8, 14:19, 14:23, 15:7, 15:17, 15:25, 16:15, 17:2, 17:17, 17:24, 18:7, 19:9, 19:23, 20:3, 20:9, 20:19, 20:22, 21:18, 21:22, 22:7, 22:13, 22:24, 23:10, 23:20, 23:25, 25:6. 25:8. 25:14 they've [1] - 24:18 thinking [9] - 3:24, 4:5, 5:9, 5:10, 9:20, 11:14, 12:11, 15:3, 16:22 third [7] - 8:12, 10:23, 11:1, 11:7, 13:1, 21:2, 25:3 three [1] - 11:8 timeframe [1] - 24:2 timeline [3] - 13:22, 14:5, 23:23 timely [1] - 21:2 today [4] - 3:10, 8:20, 16:11, 20:2 together [3] - 6:4, 17:4, 18:4 topic [1] - 16:16 touch [1] - 22:15 tranche [10] - 8:12, 10:23, 13:1, 18:10, 18:13, 19:11, 19:15, 25:3, 25:4 tranches [1] - 12:10 **TRANSCRIPT**[1] - 1:9 transcript [1] - 6:20

transfer [9] - 11:16,

11:19, 11:21, 12:3, 12:4, 12:9, 12:12, 12:17, 13:7 treated [1] - 18:14 trial [45] - 4:11, 4:21, 5:11, 6:24, 7:10, 7:11, 8:8, 8:14, 8:15, 8:19, 9:3, 10:5, 10:6, 10:8, 10:12, 10:13, 10:21, 10:22, 11:4, 11:7, 12:12, 12:25, 13:12, 13:23, 14:1, 14:6, 14:15, 15:15, 15:16, 15:21, 16:13, 16:17, 16:25, 17:7, 18:23, 20:17, 20:23, 21:2, 22:2, 22:12, 23:13, 23:16, 24:24, 25:1 trials [1] - 7:19 **tried** [7] - 6:1, 6:18, 18:11, 18:18, 19:11, 20:16, 21:1 true [1] - 22:24 trust [1] - 5:9 try [12] - 4:13, 4:15, 4:23, 5:24, 6:5, 8:2, 11:17, 11:23, 21:2, 21:6, 22:15 trying [15] - 4:2, 5:21, 5:25, 6:8, 6:14, 6:21, 7:16, 7:17, 11:9, 13:14, 15:12, 17:21, 21:23, 22:3, 24:4 two [8] - 7:1, 7:2, 7:19, 11:8, 11:22, 17:3, 23:5

U

U.S[1] - 25:23 ultimately [1] - 15:8 understood [1] -16:21 undisputed [1] - 10:2 unfair [1] - 12:4 unfortunately [1] - 8:4 **UNITED** [1] - 1:1 unless [1] - 17:15 **up** [18] - 4:25, 5:11, 6:5, 6:12, 7:2, 7:13, 10:9, 11:23, 14:13, 15:20, 16:4, 16:24, 17:7, 19:20, 21:10, 22:16, 24:19 upheld [1] - 20:24

٧

valid [3] - 7:23, 20:24, 21:3 validity [1] - 11:4 view [3] - 5:17, 11:3, 11:6 vs [1] - 1:6

W

wait [5] - 4:14, 4:15, 15:19, 22:4 waited [1] - 6:4 waiting [3] - 4:18 wants [1] - 25:3 War [1] - 3:10 **WAR** [1] - 1:23 warner [1] - 25:17 Warner [1] - 25:22 week [2] - 4:21, 24:21 welcome [1] - 9:12 Whitesall [1] - 17:19 WHITESELL [3] -1:16, 3:1, 17:25 whitesell [1] - 3:2 Whitesell [1] - 19:19 whitsell [1] - 17:24 whole [2] - 4:15, 22:2 **WIENER** [1] - 2:5 Wiener [1] - 3:16 WILLIAM [1] - 1:24 willing [1] - 24:3 **WINDELLS** [1] - 2:10 Windels [1] - 3:20 won [1] - 14:24 words [3] - 17:5, 20:9, 24:20 worry [1] - 7:10 worth [1] - 14:25 writing [3] - 5:19, 6:2, 12:16

Υ

year [5] - 4:20, 7:16, 8:2, 16:8, 16:9 years [1] - 11:22